UNITED STATES DISTRICT

COURT OF

	MASSACHUSETTS
Plaintitt,	į
Antonio Spicer	Pre Se. I
V.	1
Hampden county Jail	(
sheriff micheal J.	ashe! CA

and dental department

Detandants.

civil action No. 30 4 550

Parties

1) Plaintiff Antonio spicer, is a resident of Hampden county and a citizen of the united states.

@ The defendant Hampden County Jail sheriff micheal J. Ashe and Dental Department are residents of Hampden county and citizens of the united states.

Turisdiction.

This court has Jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to
42 use \$ 1983.

May 14 th of AF

complaint

- () on 3-16-05 Plaintiff submitted a request to the Hampdon country juil dental dopt. (where plaintiff is being detained). Plaintiff requested emergancy attention for extreme pain in the teeth.
 - Plaintiff's request was stated as followed:
 "I am in extreme pain, I need immediate medical/dertal attention." "I have a hole in my tooth, and it has become abscessed." "I need to see a dentist"!
- 5) Plaintiff received request from dental dept. on 3-18-05 stating that plaintiff was approved for a dental appointment on 4-1-05.
- 6) The duration of time between requesting emergancy dental care and actually seeing a dentist was two weeks.
- D Plaintiff did not receive any pain medication in the while two weeks, even after complaining to the dertist of the pain and receiving no pain medication
- 8) out of desperation, plaintiff wrote to the medical dept.

 and went to sick call Several times in order to obtain
 relief from pain, but received no pain medication from
 dental or medical dept.
- Definally on 4-1-05 plaintiff goes to his dental appointment Plaintiff explained to dentist that plaintiff was in extreme pain.
- (0) Dentist tells plaintiff (after examination) that plaintiff needs root rangle and fillings and also has cavities

- plaintiff tells dentist that plaintiff is in severe pain.

 The dentist response to plaintiff was quote "your exam is over."

 The dentist then Said "There are 2000 inmates here that have dental problems."
- (12) The dentist sends plaintiff off with no pain medication at this time plaintiff is bewildered at the fact that the dentist did not prescribe any pain medication.
- (3) The dentist (known as Frank?) Simply stated to plaintiff (Go back to your pod. Plaintiff then asked dentist when his teeth would be fixed. The dentist told plaintiff that it could take 3 to 3 months before any work will be done.
- (19) Dentist tells plaintiff he's not the only inmote with pain.
- (5) on top of the fact that the dentist denied plaintiff pain medication the dentist also said that no work will be done until 2 to 3 months.
- (6) at this time plaintiff has an emergancy dental issue and the issue is not being attended to
- Deplaintiff clearly exhausted every avenue available to him in order to obtain tructment. And or relief from pain with no positive results.
- (18.) By the time the detendants finally prescribed plaintiff motion for pain, the adequacy of prison efficials responses to the known medical need of plaintiff had exceeded 16 days.
- (19) The duration of time was a definite delay from plaintiffs first reguest.
- (ac) The motrin that has been prescribed to plaintiff is not effective anymore. Plaintiff is back to square one. Plaintiff is back to square one. Plaintiff is in extreme pain.

Case 3:05-cv-30114-KPN Document 3 Filed 05/20/2005 Page 4 of 8

- (al.) Professional Judgement was not exercised on 4-1-05, when plaintiff went to dental.

 It is apparent that plaintiff has pain because the x-rays indicate the dental problems.
- QD Plaintiff's verbal communication to dentist regarding plaintiff's pain was ignored diliberately.
 - (23) Do to plaintiff's persistance in writing request after request to medical/dental. Plaintiff was called back to dental on 4/12/05 or 4/13/05, where plaintiff was harrasized by dental supervisor.
 - The dental supervisor stated to plaintiff; quote "why do you here writing those stupid requests". "Don't you think we know your in pain! "Do you think your special! "Don't you realize that that we have according there in mates here who are hurting"!

 Then she proceeded to can plaintiff a baby".
 - (25) Then she (supervisor) looked at the dentist and said the plaintiff was refusing treatment. The dentist tried to get plaintiff to Sign a form that stated, that plaintiff was refusing treatment.
 - Plaintiff told Supervisor, plaintiff is not Signing anything.

 Because I'm not relusing treatment. The medical supervisor said "Your not going to sign? Plaintiff said NO!. So, the medical supervisor left the room and when she came be back, there were 3 efficiers with her. They asked plaintiff if he had a problem, Plaintiff said "No problem, flaintiff explain to efficied what happened. The officers walk plaintiff out of the building. Basically the dentist got angry at the fact that plaintiff refused to comply with the dentists inept procedures.

continued complaint

- Dependent of the unit officer said that plaintiff was "Deadlocked" to his cell pending disciplinary infraction. The the dental supervisor accused plaintiff of calling her a bitch. The dental supervisor then fabricated said infraction and made up a story to get plaintiff in trouble for an offense that never transpired,
- (28) The next day plaintiff was given a disciplinary infraction for "disobeying a direct order, "creating a disturbance" "interferring with staff and "The use of found gailty of two charges; Interferring with staff and creating a disturbance. Plaintiff did not do any of the things that the dental supervisor accused him of plaintiff was thrown in solitary continement (29) This is clearly an abuse of authority and systematic harrassment. It is obviously from abuse of authority and systematic harrassment for any inmate to express his constitutional right to receive adoquate dental/medical attention.
- 30 Plaintiffs expression made the defendants engry and defendants made an example out of him. If an inmate excercises his rights, the inmate is penalized for doing so.
- 31) Plaintiff is afroid to sock medical/dental attention now for fear of being punished for it, or set up with an urroneous infraction or wase.
- (31) Plaintiff is still in continues pain, Plaintiff's has been in pain from the beginning of entering this Jail antil the present!

 approximately two months, <u>Plaintiff STATEMENT</u>
- A message needs to be sent to Hampden County Jail's medical/destal staff that this type of misconduct stated in this complaint will not be tolerated. And that the future inmates of Hampden county Jail are given a adequate medical/dental attention and case.

continued complaint

(32) Damages

plaintiff has suffered from: Pain, no sleep, trouble enting, headaches, mental anguish, mental stress, false accusations, thrown in solitary confinement, humiliated and belittled, also lied against.

Relief Sought

Plaintiff seeks monetary and compansatory damages awarded to plaintiff for the sum of \$250,000 (two hundred, fifty thousand dollars.) in american currency, which includes punitive as well. Plaintiff wishes to sue desendants in their official capacity and individual capacity.

Plaintiff humbly demands trial by jury. Wherefore plaintiff seeks damages against the defendant for said violations. And any other relief this court may deem just and proper.

Hampden County Jail
Sheriff Micheal J. Ashe.
+ Dental Dept.
627 Randall Road
Ludlow ma, 01056

Plaintiff

Respectfully Submitted

Sign antonio WB Spicer Rics.

Print Antonio WB Spicer Rics.

Date 4/29/05

Antonio Spicer Pro Se

629 Bandall Road

Ludlow Ma, 01056

%JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the ervir docker shear (costs)				7 1				
1. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(Q_{22}, Q_{2})	DEFENDANTS	lampden Count	don't sheet				
Antonio	spicer (Pro Se)	micheal	micheal J. Ashermedical Dental Dur					
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff Hamples Count		First Listed Defendant					
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE IN AN	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C CONDEMNATION CASES, US	ETHELOCATION OF THE				
			NVOLVED.	i. He noutries of the				
		Attorneys (If Known)						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name.	Address, and Telephone Number)	Mioneys (ii Idlown)	NIA					
· •	J/A							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	HI. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for I lain iff and One Box for Defendant				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	P'1	IF DEF I Incorporated or Pri of Business In This					
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State						
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	_	of Business In A					
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 7 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	TODORY WORLD NATE OF	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTE				
CONTRACT	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	FORFEITURE/PENALTY Y	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment				
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	620 Other Food & Drug	1 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking				
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury	of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce				
150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	630 Liquor Laws 1 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influence d and				
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizatio is 480 Consumer Credit				
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	TY 660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	1 490 Cable/Sat TV				
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY_	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities				
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange				
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage		862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	7 875 Customer Challeng: 12 USC 3410				
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 385 Property Damage 360 Other Personal Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts				
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO	& Disclosure Act NS	865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act				
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca	te 🗍 790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act				
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 871 IRSThird Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information				
3 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations		26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Dete minution				
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Or	ther		Under Equal Access				
	Employment 550 Civil Rights 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	1		to Justice 950 Constitutionality o				
	Other			State Statutes				
XI Original 2	Removed from Remanded from	Reinstated or 🛄 🤊 anoth	sferred from 6 Multidistify) Litigatio					
Proceeding S	State Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	15(0)	PUNISH MEAT	for pain.					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	2 2 1 2	N DEMANDS 250, GO		y if demanded in complain:: D:				
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE	I/A	DOCKET NUMBER					
H1280	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

۱.	Title of c	1 '	e of first party on eac	h side only) ila Near Cal	tonio Spue Dental De	pt.	r. Shei	iff	Hampd	en lour
2.	Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).									
		1.	160, 410, 470, R.23, I	REGARDLESS OI	NATURE OF SUIT					
		U.	195, 368, 400, 440, 4 740, 790, 791, 820*, 8	41-444, 540, 550, 330*, 840*, 850, 89	555, 625, 710, 720, 90, 892-894, 895, 95	730, 0.	*Also co for pate	omplete ent, trad	AO 120 or AO emark or cop) 121 yright cases
	✓	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 1 315, 320, 330, 340, 3 380, 385, 450, 891.	51, 190, 210, 230, 45, 350, 355, 660,	240, 245, 290, 310, 362, 365, 370, 371,					
		IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 83	60, 510, 530, 610, 70, 871, 875, 900.	620, 630, 640, 650,	660,				
		٧.	150, 152, 153.							
3.	Title and district	I number, olease ind	if any, of related cas licate the title and nu	es. (See local rul mber of the first f	e 40.1(g)). If more iled case in this co	than on urt.	e prior rela	ated cas	e has been fil	ed in this
4.	Has a pr	ior action	between the same p	arties and based	on the same claim	ever be YES	en filed in	this cou		
5	Does the	e complai	nt in this case questi	on the constitution	onality of an act of	congres	s affecting	the pu	blic interest?	(See 28
J.	USC §24		nt in inio oddo quoon			_	_		_	
	.	41 II O A		or amployee of th	all Sanarty?	YES	Ш	МО	X	
lf 50, I		is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?			e 0,5. a party :	YES		NO	X	
6.	Is this c	ase requi	red to be heard and d	letermined by a d	istrict court of thre	e judge YES	s pursuant	to title	28 USC §2284	1?
7.	Do <u>all</u> o Massac	f the parti husetts ("	es in this action, exc governmental agenci	cluding governme les"), residing in	ental agencies of th Massachusetts res	e united side in t YES	d states an he same di	d the Co ivision? NO	ommonwealth - (See Local	of Rule 40.1(d)).
		A.	If yes, in which divi	sion do <u>all</u> of the	non-governmental	parties	reside?			
			Eastern Division		Central Division				ern Division	
		В.	If no, in which divis agencies, residing	ion do the major in Massachusett	ity of the plaintiffs of reside?	or the o	nly parties	, exclud	ling governm	ental
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division	
8.	If filing submit	a Notice o a separat	of Removal - are there e sheet identifying th	e any motions per e motions)	nding in the state c	ourt red	uiring the	attentic	on of this Cou	rt? (If yes,
						YES		NO	K	
•		YPE OR F								
11	CLEPHUN	IE NU	<u> </u>						Coversheetlocal	wpd - 10/17/02)